

Customer No. 27061

Confirmation No. 8236

Patent

Attorney Docket No. GEMS8081.041

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 21 2006

In re Application of : Brinsfield et al.  
Serial No. : 09/689,374  
Filed : 10/12/2000  
For : MOBILE CLINICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM  
Group Art No. : 3626  
Examiner : Rachel L. Porter

## CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

## Mailing

☐ deposited with the US Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## 37 CFR 1.8(a)

## 37 CFR 1.10

☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail ☐ As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No.

## Transmission

☒ transmitted by facsimile to Fax No.: 571-273-8300 addressed to Director Wynn Coggins at the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: August 21, 2006

/Robyn L. Templin/  
Signature

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181 SEEKING  
SUPERVISORY REVIEW**

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Advisory Action mailed August 15, 2006, Applicant requests Supervisory Review and consideration of the following remarks:

Brinsfield et al.

S/N: 09/689,374

**REMARKS**

In response to a Final Office Action mailed June 1, 2006, Applicant submitted an After Final Amendment July 31, 2006 in which Applicant merely corrected a typographical error to claim 1 and amended claim 26 to incorporate the subject matter of claim 29. Applicant also cancelled claim 29. Previously, claim 29 was dependent directly from claim 26. In the last paragraph, on page 9 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant clearly informed the Examiner that claim 26 has been amended to merely incorporate the subject matter of claim 29.

In an Advisory Action mailed August 15, 2006, the Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments filed after final rejection would not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search and that they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. The Examiner went on to further claim that the amendment "alters the scope of the claims."

By merely incorporating the subject matter of a dependent claim does not raise a new issue that would require further consideration and/or search unless the Examiner did not properly search and examine the dependent claims in the first instance. If that is the case, then the Examiner must reopen prosecution and do so. Assuming that not to be the case, clearly then the subject matter of claim 29 has already been "considered" and searched. For at least these reasons, Applicant requests entry of its After Final Amendment mailed July 31, 2006.

Additionally, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, amending an independent claim to incorporate the subject matter of a dependent claim clearly places the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. For this additional reason, Applicant respectfully requests entry of its July 31, 2006 Amendment as it also reduces and simplifies the issues for appeal.

Applicant believes no fee is due for filing the Petition. However, should a fee be deemed necessary, Applicant hereby authorizes charging of Deposit Account No. 07-0845.

Respectfully submitted,

/Timothy J. Ziolkowski/

Timothy J. Ziolkowski  
Registration No. 38,368  
Direct Dial 262-376-5139  
tjz@zpspatents.com

Dated: August 21, 2006  
Attorney Docket No.: GEMS8081.041  
**P.O. ADDRESS:**  
Ziolkowski Patent Solutions Group, SC  
14135 North Cedarburg Road  
Mequon, WI 53097-1416 262-376-5170